

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**SUBMISSION OF CERTIFIED TRANSLATION OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT**


APPLICANTS: Distler et al CONFIRMATION NO.: 2923  
SERIAL NO.: 10/529,287 GROUP ART UNIT: 2882  
FILED: March 25, 2005 EXAMINER: C. C. G. Kao  
TITLE: "COMPUTER TOMOGRAPHY APPARATUS AND BEAM  
DIAPHRAGM THEREFOR HAVING ABSORBER ELEMENTS  
SHAPED TO PRODUCE A NON-UNIFORM BEAM PASSAGE  
OPENING

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

S I R:

Applicants herewith submit a Certified Translation of German Application No. 102 44 898.1, filed in the German Patent and Trademark Office on September 26, 2002, on which Applicants base their claim for convention priority under 35 U.S.C. §119.

Submitted by,



(Reg. 28,982)

Schiff, Hardin LLP, **CUSTOMER NO. 26574**

Patent Department  
6600 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Telephone: 312/258-5790  
Attorneys for Applicants.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**TRANSLATOR'S DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATE**

APPLICANT: Distler et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 10/529,287  
FILED: March 25, 2005  
TITLE: "GATING DEVICE AND COMPUTER TOMOGRAPHY DEVICE  
COMPRISING AN EMITTER-SIDE GATING DEVICE"

Commissioner for Patents  
Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S I R:

I, Charles Bullock, declare and state that I am knowledgeable in German and English, and I hereby certify that the attached translation of the attached German Priority Application 102 44 898.1, filed in the German Patent and Trademark Office on 26 September 2002, is truthful and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

DATE: 20 July 2007

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'CBullock', is written over a horizontal line.

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Priority Document concerning the Submission  
of a Patent Application

File number: 102 44 898.1

Application date: 26 September 2002

Applicant/patent holder: Siemens Aktiengesellschaft, München/DE

Title: Gating device and computer tomography  
device comprising an emitter-side gating device

IPC: G 21 K, H 05 G, A 61 B

The attached pieces are a correct and precise reproduction of the original documents of this application.

München, the 19th of May 2003  
German Patent and Trademark Office  
The President  
by order  
[signature]  
Wellner

**GATING DEVICE AND COMPUTER TOMOGRAPHY DEVICE  
COMPRISING AN EMITTER-SIDE GATING DEVICE**

5

The invention concerns a gating device to delimit an x-ray beam, with at least one absorber element via which at least one slit for passage of the x-ray beam can be delimited. The invention moreover concerns a computer tomography apparatus with an x-ray radiator rotatable around a system axis, with an x-ray detector and  
10 with a radiator-side gating device.

15

In an examination of an examination subject or a patient in an x-ray diagnostic apparatus, the examination subject is inserted into an x-ray beam emitted by an x-ray source and the radiation attenuation resulting from this is detected by an x-ray detector. The examination subject is thus located in the beam path between the x-ray receiver and the x-ray detector. The x-ray tubes typically used as x-ray radiators radiate x-ray radiation in a significantly larger solid angle than is necessary for examination at the patient. In order to prevent an unnecessary radiation exposure at the patient, the necessity thus exists to gate out unnecessary  
20 x-rays. For this, in conventional x-ray apparatuses it is known to apply a radiator-side gating device immediately after the x-ray radiator in the beam path, which gating device is also designated as a primary beam diaphragm. For example, such a primary beam diaphragm with diaphragm plates which can be moved opposite to one another as absorber elements is known from EP 0 187 245 A1.

25

30

In computer tomography apparatuses with multi-row x-ray detectors, a detector-side or detector-proximal beam diaphragm that is mounted in the beam path between the patient and the x-ray detector is also frequently used in addition to a radiator-side gating device that is arranged in the beam path between the x-ray radiator and the patient. It is thereby possible to shade one or more detector rows of the plurality of detector rows present and to set the remaining detector rows as

active detector rows. Since in a computer tomography apparatus (in particular in such a computer tomography apparatus of the third generation) the x-ray detector rotates around the patient together with the x-ray radiator mounted on a gantry (rotating frame), the x-ray detector is normally curved in the azimuthal direction.

5 In adaptation to this geometry, in particular in order to realize a constant separation from one another, a detector-side diaphragm disclosed in DE 42 26 861 C2 for a computer tomography apparatus is fashioned with arc-shaped diaphragm plates.

With regard to the radiator-side diaphragm, the objective exists that this only lets  
10 pass those rays which can also actually be detected by the x-ray detector (and in particular by its active detector rows). Other x-rays would only unnecessarily penetrate the patient and unnecessarily increase the radiation exposure. Since the multi-row x-ray detector arrays in computer tomographs are normally equipped with orthogonal rows and columns of detector elements, with regard to the primary  
15 beam diaphragm the objective exists to gate an exactly rectangular ray beam. In other words: the resulting slice profile should assume the desired shape and median value. Given conventional flat or planar diaphragm plates or absorber elements, this is not perfectly possible due to different separations of the x-rays of the ray beam, respectively measured from the focus of the x-ray radiator to the point of  
20 impact on the diaphragm plate. To prevent corresponding, disadvantageous edge effects in the gating, in US 6,396,902 B2 an x-ray collimator is specified in which a plurality of slits of different but respectively constant width are introduced in a carrier or base body, whereby the carrier body is curved such that the gating slits are also curved. Via the curvature of the slits, it should be ensured that a ray beam  
25 (dose profile) exactly rectangular in cross section is gated on the x-ray detector.

For different examination methods, in order to be able to operate with different numbers of active detector rows or with an x-ray beam gated at different widths in the direction of the patient axis, given the x-ray collimator known from US  
30 6,396,902 B2 the entire bearing body produced from x-ray-absorbing material must be moved. According to the disclosure there this occurs via rotation of the

bearing body, which is why the bearing body is also curved around a second axis (shell-shape). In order to thereby also be able to bring another diaphragm slit into the matching position, the rotation axis would have to be located at the height of the focus of the x-ray radiator. This at best possible with very large mechanical effort.

Alternatively, the rotated bearing body would have to be readjusted into the correct position via a shifting movement, which is likewise very elaborate.

Moreover, the production of a bearing body curved around two axes is likewise connected with large expenditure, whereby this must also still be produced from x-ray-absorbing material, meaning from a material with a high atomic number. Moreover, what is disadvantageous from the x-ray collimator known from US 6,396,902 B2 is its large structural volume.

The invention is based on the object to specify a gating device which can be produced with less expenditure, which exhibits a small space requirement and which nevertheless allows a gating adapted to the geometry of an, if applicable, associated x-ray detector. A computer tomography apparatus should also be specified for this purpose.

The first-cited object is achieved with regard to the gating device cited above according to the invention, in that the absorber element is shaped such that the slit exhibits a slit width varying in the slit longitudinal direction.

The inventive gating device has the advantage that the absorber element or the absorber elements does not or, respectively, do not necessarily have to exhibit a curved (for example banana-like) shape in order to, for example, achieve a rectangular gating. Rather, the slit can lie in one plane and likewise does not have to be curved towards a third dimension. The absorber element or the absorber elements are thus preferably advantageously or essentially flat, for example disc-

or rod-shaped. The gating device can thus be produced simply and with a savings [sic] of space.

According to a preferred embodiment, considered in the slit longitudinal direction,  
5 in particular starting from a middle position, the slit width increases towards one slit end or towards both slit ends. A gating adapted to a rectangular detector geometry can therewith be particularly well achieved.

The absorber element preferably exhibits at the slit side a curved outer contour or  
10 an outer contour polygonally approximating a curvature. For example, the absorber element or the absorber elements is or are convexly-shaped at the slit side.

In an embodiment of the gating device that can be produced particularly simply,  
15 the absorber element is shaped such that the slit exhibits a first region of constant slit width and at least one further region with slit width varying in the slit longitudinal direction.

Considered in the slit longitudinal direction, in particular the first region is thereby  
20 centrally arranged and a further region with slit width varying in the slit longitudinal direction is respectively present on both sides of the central region.

According to a first preferred variant, at least one further absorber element is present in the gating device according to the invention in addition to the absorber  
25 element already cited. The further absorber element can likewise be shaped such that the slit exhibits a slit width varying in the slit longitudinal direction. The further absorber element is in particular shaped in the same manner as the already-cited absorber element such that both look externally alike. Overall, in this variant at least oppositely situated absorber elements are thus present. The absorber  
30 elements can be adjusted relative to one another with regard to their separation, such that the x-ray beam can be variably delimited.

In the case of same-shape absorber elements, these preferably lie mirror-symmetrically opposite one another such that sections of the absorber elements matching one another lie opposite one another with the same slit width variation  
5 (“same slit width”) with regard to an identical reference point.

The gating device according to the first preferred variant can be particularly simply produced in an advantageous manner from individually manufacturable (if applicable identical or similar) absorber elements.

10

In the first preferred variant an adjustment device is preferably present that acts on the absorber elements such that the absorber elements can move perpendicular or at an angle relative to the slit longitudinal direction. From this the special advantage results that the slit width is continuously or freely selectable between the  
15 curved absorber elements or diaphragm jaws, and thus the slice thickness adjustable at a computer tomography apparatus equipped with the gating device can also assume not only discrete values. Wide detector rows can also be only partially irradiated, and thus slices that are thinner than the width of the detector elements are also possible in a simple manner.

20

Moreover, a readjustment of the diaphragm setting is still also possible given a modification of the focus size in the x-ray radiator occurring during the operation.

The movement in particular occurs in a direction parallel to the system axis of a  
25 CT apparatus equipped with the gating device. However, a very space-saving parallelogram-like movement of the absorber elements is also possible in which, in addition to the movement component perpendicular to the slit longitudinal direction, another movement component occurs parallel to the slit longitudinal direction given always-constant parallel alignment of the absorber elements. Such  
30 a parallelogram-like movement is in particular specified in DE 42 26 861 C2, especially in claim 1.



According to a preferred embodiment of the first variant, the absorber elements can be moved independent of one another. It is therewith in particular possible to move the absorber elements not only opposite to one another, but rather also concurrently  
5 in one and the same direction. For example, a diaphragm readjustment is thereby also possible given a variation of the focus position in the diaphragm rays occurring during the operation (focal spot tracking). This means that the entire slice can be shifted in the z-direction with a constant slice width. Moreover, a dynamic variation of the collimation width is therewith possible, whereby (for  
10 example) an unwanted over-radiation at the beginning and at the end of a spiral scan can be reduced in that one of the absorber elements is still closed at the beginning of the scan and is only opened with the beginning of the scan and the beginning of the translational patient bed movement occurring in the direction of the system axis. The same is correspondingly true in reverse for the end of the  
15 scan.

The adjustment device for each of the absorber elements comprises a separate adjustment means, whereby the adjustment means are, for example, fashioned for a linear movement of the appertaining absorber element. Via such a linear  
20 movement, it is ensured in an advantageous manner that matching sections of the absorber elements “with the same slit width” also still lie opposite one another after a relative movement in the direction of the system axis.

With particular advantage, the adjustment means comprise a (preferably mutual)  
25 linear guide as well as, respectively, a drive means [actuator] acting on the absorber elements.

According to a second preferred variant, in the gating device according to the invention the absorber element is fashioned as a preferably one-piece or one-part  
30 body in which are introduced a plurality of slits with average slit widths differing from one another, whereupon at least one and preferably all exhibits or,

respectively, exhibit a slit width varying in the slit longitudinal direction. For example, an arithmetic average value of the slit widths differing in the slit longitudinal direction forms the basis as an average slit width.

- 5 The slits are advantageously aligned with their slit longitudinal directions parallel to one another.

The body is in particular movable as a whole in a direction perpendicular to the slit longitudinal direction that is specifically parallel to the system axis of a computer tomography apparatus equipped with the gating device, for which movement a  
10 drive means and/or a linear guide can be provided.

With regard to a space-saving, compact design, it is particularly advantageous that the body of the absorber element is fashioned flat, in particular plate- or disc-like.  
15 Such a plate or disc can also be linearly moved particularly simply.

The object with regard to the apparatus is achieved with regard to the computer tomography apparatus already cited according to the invention in that the gating device of the computer tomography apparatus is fashioned corresponding to the  
20 gating device according to the invention. The slit longitudinal direction thereby preferably stands perpendicular to the system axis or rotation axis.

Advantages and preferred embodiments as well as variants are applicable to the computer tomography apparatus according to the invention in a manner analogous  
25 to that for the gating device according to the invention.

The x-ray detector of the computer tomography apparatus is in particular a matrix-like detector array, for example a multi-row detector or a planar detector.

30 According to a very particular embodiment of the computer tomography apparatus, the slit width  $\ell = \ell(\beta)$  varies dependent on the cosine of a fan angle  $\beta$ , whereby the

fan angle  $\beta$  is the angle between an off-center ray of the x-ray beam and a central ray.

The variation is in particular described by the following equation:

5

$$\ell(\beta) = C/\cos\beta + D,$$

whereby in the production C and D are selectable as constants for the appertaining slit. Functional dependencies approximating this equation, for example a series  
10 expansion according to the fan angle  $\beta$ , are also applicable.

The invention is subsequently explained in detail using three exemplary embodiments and by means of Figures 1 through 7 (schematic only in part).

Thereby shown are:

15

Fig. 1 in partially perspective, partially block diagram representation, a CT apparatus comprising a gating device according to the invention,

20

Fig. 2 a known gating device, whereby the function of the gating device is illustrated in perspective,

Fig. 3 a further known gating device,

25

Fig. 4 the gating device of the CT apparatus of Figure 1 in a schematic representation according to a first exemplary embodiment,

Fig. 5 the gating device of the CT apparatus of Figure 1 in a schematic representation according to a second exemplary embodiment,

30

Fig. 6 the gating device of the CT apparatus of Figure 1 in a schematic representation according to a third exemplary embodiment, and

Fig. 7            the gating device of Figures 4 and 5 in a cross-section representation.

5     A CT apparatus of the 3rd generation is shown in Figure 1 in relevant section [sic]. Its measurement arrangement comprises an x-ray radiator 2 with a gating device 3 positioned in front of it, near the source, and an x-ray detector 5 fashioned as a laminar array of a plurality of rows and columns of detector elements (one of these is designated with 4 in Fig. 1) with an optional beam diaphragm (not explicitly  
10    shown) positioned in front of said x-ray detector 5, close to the detector. For reasons of clarity, in Figure 1 only 4 rows of detector elements 4 are shown; however, the x-ray detector 5 can comprise further rows of detector elements 4, optionally also with different widths b.

15    The x-ray radiator 2 with the gating device 3 on the one side and the x-ray detector 5 with its beam diaphragm on the other side are mounted opposite one another on a rotary frame (gantry) (not explicitly shown) such that a pyramidal (viewed in the z-direction: fan-shaped) x-ray beam emitted by the x-ray radiator 2 in the operation of the CT apparatus 1 and gated by the adjustable gating device 3 (the ray beams of  
20    which x-ray beam are designated with 8) impinges on the x-ray detector 5. By means of the gating device 3 and, if applicable, by means of the detector-proximal beam diaphragm, a desired cross-section (more precisely: median width) of the x-ray beam is thereby adjusted such that only that region of the x-ray detector 5 is uncovered that should be directly met by the x-ray beam. In the operating mode  
25    illustrated in Figure 1, this [sic] are four rows of detector elements that are designated as active rows. If applicable, further existing rows are covered by the detector-proximal beam diaphragm and are therefore not active. The gating device 3 thereby primarily amounts to the importance [sic] of preventing an unnecessary radiation exposure of the examination subject, in particular a patient, in that rays  
30    that otherwise do not arrive at the active rows are also kept away from the examination subject or patient.

The rotary frame can be displaced in rotation around a system axis Z by means of a drive device (not shown). The system axis Z runs parallel to the z-axis of a spatial rectangular coordinate system shown in Fig. 1.

5

The columns of the x-ray detector 5 likewise run in the direction of the z-axis while the rows (whose width  $b$  is measured in the direction of the z-axis and is, for example, 1 mm) run transverse to the system axis Z or, respectively, the z-axis.

10 The x-ray detector 5 is curved or arched around an axis running parallel to the z-axis.

In order to be able to bring the examination subject, for example the patient, into the beam path of the x-ray beam, a bearing device 9 is provided that can be shifted parallel to the system axis Z, thus in the direction of the z-axis, and in fact such that a synchronization exists between the rotation movement of the rotary frame and the translation movement of the bearing device 9 in the sense that the ratio of translation speed to rotation speed is constant, whereby this ratio is adjustable in that a desired value is selected for the feed  $h$  of the bearing device 9 per rotation of the rotary frame.

20

A volume of an examination subject located on the bearing device 9 can thus be examined in the course of a volume scanning, whereby the volume scanning is effected in the form of a spiral scanning in the sense that a plurality of projections is acquired from various projection directions under rotation of the rotary frame and simultaneous translation of the bearing device 9 per rotation of the rotary frame. Given the spiral scanning the focus F of the x-ray radiator 2 moves on a spiral track S relative to the bearing device 9. A sequence scan is also possible as an alternative to this spiral scan.

30

The measurement data read out in parallel during the spiral scan from the detector elements of each active row of the detector system 5 and corresponding to the

individual projections are subjected to a digital/analog conversion in a data processing unit 10, serialized and transferred to an image computer 11 that shows the result of an image reconstruction on a display unit 16, for example a video monitor.

5

The x-ray radiator 2, for example an x-ray tube, is supplied with the necessary voltages and currents by a generator unit 17 (optionally likewise mutually rotating). In order to be able to adjust this to the respectively necessary values, a control unit 18 with keyboard 19 that allows the necessary adjustments is associated with the generator unit 17.

10

The other operation and control of the CT apparatus 1 also ensues by means of the control unit 18 and the keyboard 19, which is illustrated in that the control unit 18 is connected with the image computer 11.

15

Among other things, the number of the active rows of detector elements 4 (and therewith the position the gating device 3 and of the optional detector-proximal beam diaphragm) can be adjusted, for which the control unit 18 is connected with adjustment units 20 or, respectively, 21 associated with the gating device 3 and the optional detector-proximal beam diaphragm. Furthermore the rotation time that the rotary frame requires for a complete rotation can be adjusted, which is illustrated in that a drive unit 22 associated with the rotary frame is connected with the control unit 18.

20

25 In Figure 2 it is shown which gating results given a known gating device 3A with two separate absorber elements 30A, 31A. Shown is an x-ray beam with edge rays 8A which emanates from a focus F of an x-ray radiator 2A. The x-ray beam comprises a plurality of x-rays. There is a fan angle  $\beta$  for each ray. The fan angle  $\beta$  is measured with regard to a central ray 36A that passes through the gating device 3A perpendicular to a center position. The separation of the central ray 36A from the absorber elements 30A, 31A is designated with  $h_0$ .

30

The plane of the gating device 3A is a plane perpendicular to the connecting line from the focus F to the rotation axis Z (see Figure 1). This connecting line coincides in Figure 2 with the central ray 36A.

5

The shown conventional gating device 3A exhibits the same opening or slit width  $\ell$  for all fan angles  $\beta$ . The following problem results from this: both the edge rays 8A passing the (in Figure 2) rear absorber element 30A respectively cover (starting from the focus F) a distance  $h(\beta)$  from the absorber element 30A that depends on the fan angle  $\beta$ :

10

$$h(\beta) = h_0 / \cos\beta > h_0 \quad [\text{Eq. 1}]$$

In contrast to this, the comparable distance  $h_0$  exhibits a lower value given the indicated central ray 36A than given the edge rays 8A. The same is correspondingly true for the edge rays on the opposite side of the slit 32A. The result is that an x-ray beam whose outer contour 34A is not rectangular is gated on the x-ray detector 5A with its individual detector elements 4A in cross-section. In order to fully illuminate all detector elements 4A of the detector row (with its width  $b$ ) illuminated here, the outer contour 34A must be set such that its width  $d(\beta)$  at the edge approximately corresponds to the width  $b$  of the detector row. As a result of the different distances  $h(\beta) \neq h_0$ , a larger width  $d_0$  of the outer contour 34A of the x-ray beam then results in the middle of the detector row. The portion of the x-ray beam occurring in this barrel-shaped region (here shown exaggerated, but nevertheless disturbing with regard to the radiation dose) is ultimately not used.

20

25

Resulting from the ray set for the gated width  $d(\beta)$  for an eccentric fan angle  $\beta$  is

$$d(\beta) = x \cdot \ell / h(\beta) \quad [\text{Eq. 2}]$$

30

and, with equation 1:

$$d(\beta) = x \cdot \ell \cdot \cos\beta / h_0 \quad [\text{Eq. 3}]$$

In the equations  $x$  stands for the focus-detector separation. Due to the curvature of the detector 5A (see also Figure 1),  $x$  is just as large for an edge ray 8A as for the central ray 36A.  $h_0$  can also be understood as the difference of the distance focus-rotation axis and the distance diaphragm-rotation center and is typically 200 mm.

A further known gating device 3A of a CT apparatus is illustrated in Figure 3 in schematic representation and perspective view. The gating device 3A comprises a curved absorber element 51A in which is formed a slit 32A that can pass the x-rays emanating from the focus F of the x-ray radiator 2A. The absorber element 51A is curved in the shape of a circular arc, whereby the middle point of the circular arc lies in the focus F of the x-ray radiator 2A. With regard to the problem shown with equation 1, it should thereby be ensured that the separation of both the edge rays 8A and a central ray 36A respectively measured from the focus F to the absorber element 51A respectively exhibits the same value  $h$ . It should thereby be achieved that the x-ray beam gated on the curved x-ray detector 5A exhibits in cross-section a rectangular outer contour 34A whose constant width  $d$  can be adapted to the width  $b$  of one or more detector rows.

A gating device 3 according to the invention as it is installed in the CT apparatus 1 of Figure 1 with the curved detector 5 is reproduced in Figure 4 in a schematic representation according to a first exemplary embodiment. The geometry – in particular also with regard to the focus-detector distance  $x$  – is largely identical with that of Figure 2, for reasons of which the designations already used in this Figure are resorted to with regard to the designations used.

The absorber elements 30, 31 (produced from heavy metal, for example from tungsten or/and from tantalum) can move or travel independent of one another, in particular also together with or counter to one another, which is indicated by



corresponding double arrows 40, 41 in Figure 4. The absorber elements 30, 31 are shaped, i.e. exhibit on the part of the slit a curved outer contour, such that the slit 32 exhibits a slit width  $\ell$  varying in the slit longitudinal direction 42 and increasing towards the slit ends. The absorber elements 30, 31 are correspondingly contoured to their slit-demarcating edges 43 or, respectively, 44.

The invention begins from the consideration that the problem resulting from equation 1 is to be solved starting from equation 3, in that the gated width  $d(\beta)$  is set as a constant:  $d(\beta) = d$ , and then equation 3 is solved according to a slit width  $\ell = \ell(\beta)$  assumed to be varying with the fan angle  $\beta$ :

$$\ell(\beta) = d \cdot h_0 / (x \cdot \cos\beta) \quad [\text{Eq. 4}]$$

The slit width  $\ell = \ell(\beta)$  thus generally varies according to

$$\ell(\beta) = C / \cos\beta + D = C \cdot \sec\beta + D \quad [\text{Eq. 5}]$$

with the fan angle  $\beta$ , whereby C and D apply for the appertaining slit 32 as constants independent of the fan angle  $\beta$ . The slit-demarcating edges 43 or, respectively, 44 are correspondingly rounded.

For not-too-large angles, a curve progression approximated according to a series expansion is also applicable:

$$\ell(\beta) = E + F \cdot \beta^2 \quad [\text{Eq. 6}]$$

whereby E and F are selectable as constants for the appertaining slit 32.

Shown in Figure 5 is a gating device 3 according to the invention according to a second exemplary embodiment as it can be installed in the CT apparatus 1 of Figure 1. In contrast to the exemplary embodiment of Figure 4, the slit-

demarcating edges 43A or, respectively, 44A of the absorber elements 30, 31 are not curved but rather are composed of a plurality of straight sections. The absorber elements 30, 31 thus exhibit an outer contour polygonally approximating a curve. The slit width  $\ell$  is constant in a middle first region 45 of approximately 50 mm in length. The slit width  $\ell$  increases linearly towards the ends in each further region 46, 47 (length approximately 75 mm) adjacent on both sides of the first region 45. The increase  $\Delta\ell$  of the slit width  $\ell$  is, for example, 0.4 mm.

The embodiment of the gating device 3 according to Figure 5 is in particular advantageous in the case of an adjustment device that generates a parallelogram-like relative movement between the absorber elements 30, 31 to modify the diaphragm opening. Namely, it has been shown that the movement also occurring (among other things) in the x-direction in the parallelogram-like movement, which movement in the x-direction leads to a displacement of the centers of the absorber elements 30, 31, has particularly little effect given a gating device 3 executed with three regions 45, 46, 47, in particular in that errors with regard to this are corrected to the largest possible extent via introduction of calibration implemented at the beginning of a measurement.

Shown in Figure 6 is a gating device 3 according to the invention according to a third exemplary embodiment as it can likewise be installed into the CT apparatus 1 of Figure 1. Only a single, one-piece or one-part, plate- or disc-like absorber element 51 is hereby present, in which have been introduced a plurality of slits 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 with average slit widths differing from one another. The slits 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 are aligned parallel in the slit longitudinal direction 42 and exhibit a slit width  $\ell$  varying in the slit longitudinal direction 42. The length L of the absorber element 51, measured in the z-direction, is approximately 70 mm; its width B, measured in the x-direction, approximately 200 mm: for better representation of the contoured openings, the absorber element 51 is thus not shown with a uniform scale in Figure 6. The absorber element 51 can be linearly shifted in the z-direction, thus perpendicular to the slit longitudinal direction 42,

which is indicated by the double arrow 59. Corresponding adjustment means comprising a drive means 60 and a guide element 61 are only schematically indicated.

- 5 The gating device 3 of Figure 4 and 5 is explained again in Figure 7 in a cross-section representation in the z-direction. Therein it is in particular visible that the absorber elements 30, 31 are slightly displaced relative to one another in the height direction y, essentially corresponding to the direction of the radiated x-ray beam, in order to achieve an overlapping of the absorber elements 30, 31 necessary for a  
10 complete closure of the gating device 3.

- Moreover, in Figure 7 it is visible that a first drive means 63 can be present as an adjustment device 61 for the absorber element 30 and a separate drive means 67 can be provided for the other absorber element 31, which drive means act on the  
15 absorber elements 30, 31 movable along the common linear guide 65 via toothed belts and/or gears. The adjustment device 61 is connected with the control unit 18. The adjustment device 61 can alternatively drive both absorber elements 30, 31 with a common motor.

## Patent claims

1. Gating device (3) to delimit an x-ray beam, with at least one absorber element (30, 31; 51) via which at least one slit for passage of the x-ray beam can be delimited,  
5 characterized in that  
the absorber element (30, 31; 51) is shaped such that the slit (32; 52-58) exhibits a slit width ( $\ell$ ) varying in the slit longitudinal direction (42).
- 10 2. Gating device (3) according to claim 1,  
characterized in that,  
considered in the slit longitudinal direction (42), the slit width ( $\ell$ ) increases towards a slit end or towards both slit ends, in particular starting from a central position.  
15
3. Gating device (3) according to claim 1 or 2,  
characterized in that  
the absorber element (30, 31; 51) exhibits on the slit-side a curved outer contour or an outer contour polygonally approximating a curve.  
20
4. Gating device (3) according to claim 1 or 2,  
characterized in that  
the absorber element (30, 31; 51) is shaped such that the slit (32) comprises a first region (45) of constant slit width ( $\ell$ ) and at least one further region (46, 467) with  
25 slit width ( $\ell$ ) varying in the slit longitudinal direction (42).
5. Gating device (3) according to claim 4,  
characterized in that,  
considered in the slit longitudinal direction (42), the first region (45) is centrally  
30 arranged and in that respectively one further region (46, 47) with slit width ( $\ell$ )

varying in the slit longitudinal direction (42) is present on both sides of the middle region (45).

6. Gating device (3) according to any of the claims 1 through 5,  
5 characterized by  
two absorber elements (30, 31) lying opposite one another and adjustable with regard to their distance from one another, such that the x-ray beam can be variably delimited.

10 7. Gating device (3) according to claim 6,  
characterized by  
an adjustment device (61) that acts on the absorber elements (30, 31) such that the absorber elements (30, 31) can preferably be moved independent of one another, perpendicular or at an angle to the slit longitudinal direction (42).

15 8. Gating device (3) according to any of the claims 1 through 5,  
characterized in that  
the absorber element (51) is fashioned as a preferably one-piece or one-part body in which are introduced a plurality of slits (52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57) with average slit  
20 widths different from one another, of which at least one and preferably all exhibits or, respectively, exhibit a slit width ( $\ell$ ) varying in the slit longitudinal direction (42).

9. Gating device (3) according to claim 7 or 8,  
25 characterized in that  
the body of the absorber element (51) is fashioned flat, in particular plate- or disc-like.

10. Computer tomography apparatus (1) with an x-ray radiator (2) rotatable  
30 around a system axis (Z), with an x-ray detector (5) and with a radiator-side gating device (3),

characterized in that

the gating device (3) is fashioned according to any of the claims 1 through 9.

11. Computer tomography apparatus (1) according to claim 10,

5 characterized in that

the slit width ( $\ell$ ) varies dependent on the cosine of a fan angle ( $\beta$ ), whereby the fan angle ( $\beta$ ) is the angle between an eccentric ray of the x-ray beam and a central ray (36A).

10 12. Computer tomography apparatus (1) according to claim 10 or 11,

characterized in that

the slit width ( $\ell$ ) varies according to

$$\ell(\beta) = C/\cos\beta + D,$$

whereby C and D represent a constant for the appertaining slit (32; 52-58).

## Abstract

Gating device and computer tomography device comprising an emitter-side gating device

5


A gating device (3) for delimitation of an x-ray beam is described with at least one absorber element (30, 31; 51) via which at least one slit for passage of the x-ray beam can be delimited. The absorber element (30, 31; 51) is shaped and in particular curved on the part of the slit such that the slit exhibits a slit width ( $\ell$ )  
10 varying in the slit longitudinal direction (42), in particular increasing towards one slit end or towards both slit ends. Two absorber elements (30, 31) that can be moved relative to one another can be present, or an absorber element (51) can be fashioned as a preferably one-piece or one-part body into which a plurality of slits (52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58) with average slit width ( $\ell$ ) differing from one another  
15 are introduced. The invention also concerns a computer tomography apparatus (1) with a gating device (3) according to the invention.

FIG 6

20

2/5

**FIG 2**  
Prior art



**FIG 3**  
Prior art

